



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT
YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

15th Sept 2020

PG Student Project Assessment and Review Committee
Rubrics for Project Phase –I - Project Synopsis

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Problem Formulation with the current trends and Technology	10	Relevant to current trends and technology. Clearly explained the problem definition.	Relevant with slight modification. Problem Definition are specified but needs improvement.	Poor/ Inadequate Problem Definition are not clearly specified
		(7-10)	(4-6)	(0 – 3)
Scope and Objectives set for the Topic	10	Clear definition of Objectives & Scope of the project	Objectives & Scope are specified but needs improvement	Objectives & Scope are not clearly specified
		(7-10)	(4-6)	(0 – 3)
Methodology and expected outcome (In Brief)	05	Clear definition of Methodology & Expected Outcomes	Methodology s & Expected Outcomes are specified but needs improvement	Methodology & Expected Outcomes are not clearly specified
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Novelty / Innovation	05	Adequate demonstration of novelty and uniqueness	Moderate display of novel idea and innovativeness	Poor demonstration of innovativeness
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Synopsis Report	10	Report in format, content is clearly written, submitted in time.	Report in format, content is not clearly written, submitted in time.	Report not in format, content not in order, language mistakes, not submitted in time.
		(07-10)	(04-06)	(0-03)

[Handwritten signature]

Professor and Head

Department of Computer Science & Engineering
 BMS Institute of Technology & Management
 Yelahanka, Bangalore- 560 064

[Handwritten signature]



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT
YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

PG Student Project Assessment and Review Committee
Rubrics for Project Phase –1.2 – Literature Survey

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Literature Survey & Relevance in present context	20	Demonstration of proper understanding of the solution and also map the given problem with the Domain. Use available literature as a basis if possible/ Demonstration of proper understanding of the company sources, web repositories etc.	Demonstration of average understanding of the solution and also map the given problem with the domain specified / Demonstration of average understanding of products formed through the literature review/product study.	Poor/Inadequate survey carried
		High (15-20)	Medium (09-14)	Low (0-8)
Identifying the research gap in the Literature Review (3) / Product feature enhancements	15	Appropriately identifies the gap/features, limitations, and improvements with apparent recent papers /product reviews	Modestly identifies the gaps//product features with apparent reviews	Poor identification with no specific limitation
		High (11-15)	Medium (06-10)	Low (0-05)
Problem formulation & Objectives	15	Clear definition of Objectives & Problem Definition	Objectives & Problem Definition are specified but needs improvement	Objectives & Problem Definition are not clearly specified
		High (11-15)	Medium (06-10)	Low (0-05)
Proposed Methodology & Expected Outcomes	10	Clear definition of Methodology & Expected Outcomes	Methodology s & Expected Outcomes are specified but needs improvement	Objectives & Problem Definition are not clearly specified
		High (7-10)	Medium (04-06)	Low (0-03)

Handwritten signature

Professor and Head
Ene. Lihama K.
 Department of Computer Science & Engineering
 BMS Institute of Technology & Management
 Yelahanka, Bangalore- 560064



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

PG Student Project Assessment and Review Committee

Rubrics for Project Phase –II

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Problem Formulation with the current trends and Technology	05	Relevant to current trends and technology. Clearly explained the problem definition.	Relevant with slight modification. Problem Definition are specified but needs improvement.	Poor/ Inadequate Problem Definition are not clearly specified
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Objectives set for the Topic	05	Clear definition of Objectives & Scope of the project	Objectives & Scope are specified but needs improvement	Objectives & Scope are not clearly specified
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Detailed Design	10	Design documentation is appropriately detailed and structured for the intended Purpose. Documentation is polished and professional with standard engineering diagrams	Design documentation is appropriately detailed and structured for the intended Purpose. All necessary specifications for assembly and/or operation are mentioned	Design is organized, neat, and contains few technical errors.
		(07-10)	(04-06)	(0-03)
Implementation	10	Implementation is complete with all aspects covered in the objective set by the project. The implementation is demonstrable	Implementation is completed with few errors and partially demonstrable.	Implementation is not completed, however a plan for implementation is made.
		(08-10)	(05-07)	(0-04)

Professor and Head
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
BMS Institute of Technology & Management
Yelahanka, Bangalore- 560 064



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Presentation	10	Contents of presentation is appropriate and relevant to the context of the project and is able to present well.	Contents of presentation is appropriate to the context of the project, but not Confident enough to present.	Contents have large deviation and not able to present well.
		(08-10)	(05-07)	(0-04)

Professor and Head

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

BMS Institute of Technology & Management

Yelahanka, Bangalore - 560 064



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

PG Student Project Assessment and Review Committee

Rubrics for Project Phase –3.1

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Problem Formulation with the current trends and Technology	05	Relevant to current trends and technology. Clearly explained the problem definition.	Relevant with slight modification. Problem Definition are specified but needs improvement.	Poor/ Inadequate Problem Definition are not clearly specified
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Objectives set for the Topic	05	Clear definition of Objectives & Scope of the project	Objectives & Scope are specified but needs improvement	Objectives & Scope are not clearly specified
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)
Detailed Design	15	Design documentation is appropriately detailed and structured for the intended Purpose. Documentation is polished and professional with standard engineering diagrams	Design documentation is appropriately detailed and structured for the intended Purpose. All necessary specifications for assembly and/or operation are mentioned	Design is organized, neat, and contains few technical errors.
		(15-14)	(10-08)	(0-05)
Partial Implementation	05	Implementation is complete with all aspects covered in the objective set by the project. The implementation is demonstrable	Implementation is completed with few errors and partially demonstrable.	Implementation is not completed, however a plan for implementation is made.
		(4-5)	(2-3)	(0-1)

Handwritten signature in blue ink.

B. Omata
Professor and Head

Department of Computer Science & Engineering
BMS Institute of Technology & Management
Yelahanka, Bangalore- 560 064



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Presentation	10	Contents of presentation is appropriate and relevant to the context of the project and is able to present well.	Contents of presentation is appropriate to the context of the project, but not Confident enough to present.	Contents have large deviation and not able to present well.
		(08-10)	(05-07)	(0-04)

Professor and Head
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
BMS Institute of Technology & Management
Yelahanka, Bangalore-560064



BMS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT
YELAHANKA, BENGALURU – 560064
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

PG Student Project Assessment and Review Committee
Rubrics for Project Phase –3.2

Parameter	Allocated Marks	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Implementation and experimental Analysis	15	Implementation is complete with all aspects covered in the objective set by the project. The implementation is demonstrable	Implementation is completed with few errors and partially demonstrable.	Implementation is not completed, however a plan for implementation is made.
		(15-13)	(07-11)	(0-06)
Completed Report	15	Contents of presentation is appropriate and relevant to the context of the project and is able to present well.	Contents of presentation is appropriate to the context of the project, but not Confident enough to present.	Contents have large deviation and not able to present well.
		(15-13)	(07-11)	(0-06)
Publication	10	Journal Publication is published in reputed indexed journals	Conference publication is published and indexed (except Google Scholar) or Paper is accepted in Journal or Conference or Paper is submitted.	No Publication
		(07-10)	(03-06)	(0-02)

Professor and Head
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
BMS Institute of Technology & Management
Yelahanka, Bangalore- 560 064